# Francis C. Hammond Middle School

4646 Seminary Road, Alexandria, VA 22304

At a Glance							
Year Built 1956	Тах Мар 030.01-01-03	Current Square Feet 236,125	Borderline (65)				
Zoning R-8	Lot Size 810,353	Floor Area Ratio 0.45	Allowed Square Feet 364,659				
R-20	279,244	0.25	69,811				
Satisfactory (81)	Building Assessment Inadequate (33)	Instructional & Support Spaces Borderline (68)	Borderline (80)				

# **Background**

Francis C. Hammond was originally built in 1956. The building operated as a high school from 1956 through



1971. In fall 1979, Hammond Junior High School opened and served grades seven through nine. Finally, in fall 1993, the junior high was

reorganized into a middle school for grades six through eight.

In 2014, Francis Hammond has an enrollment of 1,436 students and a capacity of 1,396 students. By 2020, enrollment is expected to increase to 1,832 students. Therefore, the existing school capacity will not accommodate the increase of students and will be over utilized and it will exceed the recommended size for a middle school.

The academic curriculum at Francis Hammond includes reading, language arts, mathematics, social studies, and science.

## The school also offers:

• Art, Band, Orchestra, Choir • Health/Physical Education
• Instruction in family life education at age appropriate levels • Computer Applications • Foreign Languages (Chinese, German, French, Spanish and Latin) • Technology/Synergistics • ELL programs for students learning English as a second language • Opportunity to participate in numerous after school programs.

DASHBOARD				
Current Enrollment (2014-2015)	1,436			
School Capacity	1,396			
Projected Enrollment (2020)	1,832			
Projected Primary Instructional Classroom	<b>2020</b> -11			
Projected Total Additional Square Feet	<b>2020</b> -13,518			
Electric Usage in kilowatt-hour (7-1-2013 - 6-30-2014)	2,172,320			
Number of Maintenance Calls (7-1-2013 – 6-30-2015)	212			

# **Key Findings**

# Summary

The data collected through this assessment reveal that Hammond Middle School received a borderline score of 65.

#### High Priority Items

- Based on the 2020 projections, Hammond will be significantly undersized if it remains in its current condition.
- The classroom conditions are at or below satisfactory levels for reasons such as inadequate square footage in core classrooms and shared spaces.
- Shared spaces are not supplied with proper fixed equipment.

## **School Site**

Environmental Considerations	Significant drop in grade from the front of the school to the rear of the school.			
Number of Playgrounds	None			
Recreation Features	Synthetic turf field, track, exercise area, roller rink, tennis courts and open field Onsite conservation area, dense trees surrounding open field			
Resource Protection Areas				
Number of Parking Spaces	143			
Storm Water Management	Filterra, two underground detention systems, underground sand filter			
G Stiffley Manager Ave (20) Hammond School	Encore Apartments  W Braddock  Seminary Rd			

Hammond is located on a busy main road in Alexandria. The front of the school is dedicated to school bus and kiss-and-ride traffic. As required by the educational specifications, these two types of vehicular traffic should be separated. Additionally, the kiss-and-ride does not include a stacking area to prevent congestion on adjacent streets. There are three main areas for staff parking which causes inefficiencies.

The main play field is easily accessible from the school and does not require students or staff to cross any vehicular traffic paths. The visitor parking is located close to the main entrance, as required.

There are no formal outdoor learning spaces, but could be accommodated in the courtyard between the original school and new addition. Lastly, the site is lacking adequate outdoor lighting.

## **Instructional and Support Spaces**

The overall instructional and support spaces scored borderline. Areas of concern include insufficient classroom sizes, absence of adequate storage and

furnishings, and poor internal organization of the spaces. Additionally, shared spaces lack proper technological infrastructure.

The internal organization of a classroom defines its ability to support the recommended program activities within the space. Very few core classrooms and shared spaces met the square footage requirement. The next high priority issue is the lack of fixed equipment in shared spaces which included marker boards and tack boards, electronic interactive boards, sound enhancement devices, and support furniture. Over 56 percent of the classrooms did not have an interactive electronic presentation device and 92 percent did not have sound enhancement systems. The support furniture missing in most shared spaces are itinerant desks.

Most occupants report extreme temperature shifts in classrooms ranging from too hot to too cold throughout the school year. The rooms lack controllability and therefore making the learning environment substandard and inadequate. Humidity is a noticeable issue in over half the spaces.

The academic classrooms at Hammond have an average square footage of 651, rather than the desired 850 square feet or 30 percent undersized. The lack of space in these capacity driving rooms reduced each room's ability to support all the recommended arrangements and teaching program activities. The rooms would also benefit from additional storage.

# **Building Assessment**

The building assessment is rated inadequate because all three sub-sections rate 50 percent or below. Related to building organization, the school did not have any distinct academic clusters by grade level or programmatic space. Shared spaces including the media center, resource classrooms, and cafeteria are not centrally located as required, therefore bringing down the overall building organization score.

The accessibility score is lowest because the building lacks a judicious use of ramps. For instance, to access a classroom adjacent to the main office and accessible by a short staircase, a person in a wheelchair must travel three times the same distance.

#### **Recommendations**

The school will be significally over capacity by 2020. Considerations should be given to accommodating the projected increase in enrollment at another location due to the building capacity and the overall school size.

#### GROUP 1 - REQUIRED PLANNING

- Site assessment to determine whether all pedestrian circulation routes can be separated from vehicular traffic as recommended in the education specifications
- Plan to accommodate the projected increase in enrollment at another location due to the building capacity and the overall school size.
- **Study** the possibility of utilizing the lower field/roller rink area as a site for a school.

#### **GROUP 2 – FIRST PRIORITY**

- Reconfigure the existing instructional classroom spaces to meet the recommended size requirements outlined in the educational specifications.
- Install more interior ramps to address the ADA accessibility issue.

#### **GROUP 3 – SECOND PRIORITY**

- Additional storage for teachers and students should be integrated into the reconfigured classrooms as well as an upgrade to the furniture, fixtures and equipment to include fixed equipment and support furniture.
- **Equip** all classrooms and support spaces with individual climate control.

# GROUP 4 – LONG-RANGE RECOMMENDATIONS

 Reconfiguration and consolidation of the staff parking to improve site efficiency

# Exhibit A

Appraisal Summary f	or: Francis C. Ha	mmond				Key
	_				1	Excellent
iummary	Unweighted Points	Tier	Priority	Rating Category	2	Satisfactory
					3	Borderline
1.0 School Site	81			Satisfactory	4	Inadequate
2.0 Building Assessment	33			Inadequate	5	Very Inadequate
3.0 Instructional and Support Spaces	68			Borderline		
4.0: Utilization	80			Borderline		
Average	65			Borderline		
	1.0 School Site					
Section 1	Unweighted Points	Tier	Priority	Rating Category		
A Site Circulation.	74	4	19	Satisfactory		
3 Play areas / fields	88	3	18	Satisfactory		
Average : School Site	81			Satisfactory		
<u>2.0</u>	Building Assessmen	<u>t</u>				
Section 2	Unweighted Points	Tier	Priority	Rating Category		
A Building Organization	35	4	9	Inadequate		
Technology and Supporting Infrastructure	43	4	9	Inadequate		
C Accessibility	20	4	4	Very Inadequate		
Average : Building Assessment	33			Inadequate		
Section 3	Unweighted Points	Tier	Priority	Rating Category		
Core Classrooms  Meets Size Requirements	32	2	7	Inadequate		
Internal Organization and Ancillary Spaces	62	4	14	Borderline		
Loose Furnishings	68	4	14	Borderline		
Fixed Equipment and Infrastructure	56	4	14	Borderline		
Lighting Quality	85	3	18	Satisfactory		
Natural Lighting	95	3	23	Excellent		
Acoustics	88	3	18	Satisfactory		
Air Quality and Temperature	64	3	13	Borderline		
Shared Spaces	25	2	-	lands, the	++	
Meets Size Requirements	35 63	2	7 14	Inadequate Borderline	++	
Internal Organization and Ancillary Spaces Loose Furnishings	66	4	14	Borderline	++	
Fixed Equipment and Infrastructure	49	4	9	Inadequate	++	
Lighting Quality	89	3	18	Satisfactory	+	
Natural Lighting	90	3	23	Excellent		
Acoustics	85	3	18	Satisfactory		
Air Quality and Temperature	66	3	13	Borderline		
Average: Instructional and Support Spaces	68			Borderline		
	4.0: Utilization					
ection 4 : Utilization	Unweighted Points	Tier	Priority	Rating Category		
A Core Classrooms (Capacity Drivers)	92	2	17	Satisfactory		
		3	FALSE	Not Rated		
, , , , , ,			-			
B Specialty Classrooms (Core A) C Shared Spaces (Core B)	131	3	3	Very Inadequate		

# Francis Hammond Program Isometric

Classrooms (Core + Speciality)
Administration
Shared Spaces
Program Isometr

Playing Fields

