# Facility Capacity Needs Analysis Subcommittee ACPS \& City of Alexandria February 10, 2014 



## Agenda

- Review Work Program
, Progress by Hughes Group Architects
Capacity Discussion
- Next Steps

Tonight's goal is to discuss different methods of calculating capacity using fictional PK-3rd grade school

## Review Work Program

Goals

- Assess existing conditions
- Review capacity analysis methodology
- Review how existing capacity is allocated to meet demand
- Establish guidelines for adding capacity, supporting education
- Identify potential school site types


## Review Work Program

- Approach
- Develop a school facility and site inventory
- Develop a capacity and utilization assessment for each school site
- Identify space needs by type of use
- Review findings of Enrollment Subcommittee and Educational Specifications Subcommitee
- Reallocate existing capacity to meet current demand
- Develop guidelines for adding capacity
- Review potential future school sites


## Review Work Program

- $1^{\text {st }}$ meeting
- Reviewed Work Program/ HGA Scope of Work
- ACPS Elementary Standard Program/Room Allocations
- Methodology for Elementary and Secondary Capacity Analysis
- $2^{\text {nd }}$ meeting
- Reviewed data collected on pilot school
- Community uses of school facilities


## Status on School Facility Inventory- Building Interiors

- Package \#1 and \#2 delivered to staff
- Samuel Tucker, James K. Polk, Lyles-Crouch, Charles Barrett
- Minnie Howard, Cora Kelly, George Mason, Matthew Maury, John Adams
- Sites remaining
- George Washington, Douglas MacArthur, William Ramsay, Mt. Vernon, Francis C. Hammond, T.C. Williams King Street


## Capacity Discussion <br> D) Physical <br> Programmatic <br> Core <br> Level of Service <br> Example

## Physical Capacity

- Also known as design or building capacity
, How many students can a school building accommodate with a traditional instructional program?
- Number of full-size classrooms x Number of students a classroom is designed to accommodate


## Program Capacity

- How many students can a school building accommodate based upon the specific educational program
- Four different models illustrated
- 1- actual student/teacher ratio
- \#2- class-size caps
- \#3- design capacity
- \#4- actual square feet


## Core Capacity

- Core spaces include cafeteria, gymnasium, multipurpose room, library/media center
- Calculated based on square foot allowance per student
, VDOE Guidelines depend on type of furniture
- Cafeteria
- Elementary 8-14 SF/student
- Middle 9-14 SF/student
- High 11-14 SF/student
- Art 45 SF/student
- Music 15-20 SF/student


## Utilization Factor

- Percentage applied to the optimum capacity to account for the uneven distribution of students across grade levels and cohort groups
- Recommended rates
- Elementary 90\%-100\%
- Middle School 70\%-85\%
- High School 80\%-85\%


## Level of Service

Goal for acceptable level of service provided by a facility based on the operational characteristics
Can vary based on program and level

- Reaching a certain level can trigger a study or project


## Other

Hybrid/Combination
Uses a combination of factors to provide a more realistic capacity calculation
Net Area
Gross square feet of permanent facilities (minus SPED \& ELL classrooms)/square foot per student

## Capacity Example

- Fictional Pk-3rd School
- 21 Instructional Classrooms
- 5 Core Spaces
, 632 Design Capacity
, 534 Program Capacity Model \#1
- Student/Teacher Ratio of 23
-95\% Utilization
, 541 Program Capacity Model \#2
- Current ACPS Class-Size Caps
- 95\% Utilization
- Calculations provided in handout


## Capacity Example Cont.

, Fictional Pk-3rd School

- 21 Instructional Classrooms
- 5 Core Spaces
- 602 Program Capacity Model \#3
- All classrooms can accommodate 26 students
- 95\% Utilization
- 568 Program Capacity Model \#4
- Assumes standard goal of 35 SF/student in general ed. and $75 \mathrm{SF} /$ student in special ed.
- 95\% Utilization
- Range between high and low models: 93
- Calculations provided in handout


## Capacity Example Cont.

## - Level of Service

| Level of Service | 85\% | 90\% | 100\% | 110\% | 120\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design | 538 | 569 | 632 | 696 | 759 |
| Program \#1 | 454 | 481 | 534 | 588 | 641 |
| Program \#2 | 460 | 487 | 541 | 596 | 650 |
| Program \#3 | 512 | 542 | 602 | 663 | 723 |
| Program \#4 | 483 | 512 | 568 | 625 | 682 |

## Capacity Example Cont.

## Capacity Analysis Enrollment and Capacity

|  | SY 2011 | SY 2012 | SY 2013 | SY 2014 | SY $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Enrollment | $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 0}$ |
| Design | $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ | $82 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $92 \%$ |
| Program \#1 | $94 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $101 \%$ | $105 \%$ | $109 \%$ |
| Program \#2 | $92 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $104 \%$ | $107 \%$ |
| Program \#3 | $83 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| Program \#4 | $88 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $99 \%$ | $102 \%$ |

## Discussion/Next Steps

- Next meeting
- Review progress of architect
- Review methodologies and finalize recommendation
- Apply recommended methodology to individual schools

